Screen To Steps: The Relationship Between Screen **Time and Physical Activity**



BACKGROUND

- Modern college students increased screen time for various purposes raises concerns about reduced physical activity and its health impacts
- Higher screen time is linked to lower physical activity, increasing risk factors for obesity and cardiovascular disease (CVD) diabetes, hypertension, and such as dyslipidemia
- Increasing activity physical and cardiovascular fitness (CRF) significantly improves health outcomes, with inactive individuals facing a 24% higher risk of coronary heart disease

OBJECTIVE

- To determine if there is a **relationship** between the amount of recreational screen time and intentional physical activity that UCSD college students participate in.

METHODS

- Collected data from UCSD Students from April 17, 2024 until May 5, 2014.
- Qualtrics surveys were distributed through the BSPH emailing list, Instagram, and word of mouth to classmates.
- Survey consisted of 24 questions, taking about 5 - 10 minutes to complete.
- Exposure variable = recreational screen time \rightarrow collected by asking average screen time, via self-report.
- Outcome variable = physical activity separated by moderate vs. vigorous activity
- For the statistical analysis we conducted a **Pearsons Correlation** statistic test.

Table 1. Survey Demographics

Race Acad Wee ¹ n (9

Nathaniel Delarosa, Max Avalos, and Victor Nguyen UC San Diego Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health and Longevity Science

- We received **58** survey responses - Data analysis was conducted using the R programming language in R studio - We removed 13 survey entries due to incomplete data, large outliers, multiple selections, and inappropriate comments - The data is split fairly between Female (24) and Male (21) respectively - Data is clustered with a few outliers

Characteristic	Overall , $N = 45^{1}$	Female , $N = 24^{1}$	Male , N = 21 ¹
Age (in years)			
<21	18 (40.0%)	11 (45.8%)	7 (33.3%)
>25	5 (11.1%)	3 (12.5%)	2 (9.5%)
22-24	22 (48.9%)	10 (41.7%)	12 (57.1%)
Race/Ethnicity			
Asian	28 (62.2%)	14 (58.3%)	14 (66.7%)
Black or African American	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.8%)
Caucasian/White	4 (8.9%)	3 (12.5%)	1 (4.8%)
Hispanic or Latino	11 (24.4%)	7 (29.2%)	4 (19.0%)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.8%)
Academic Standing			
Lowerclass	5 (11.1%)	1 (4.2%)	4 (19.0%)
Not a Student	3 (6.7%)	1 (4.2%)	2 (9.5%)
Upperclass	37 (82.2%)	22 (91.7%)	15 (71.4%)
Area of Study			
Non-STEM	26 (57.8%)	17 (70.8%)	9 (42.9%)
Other	10 (22.2%)	5 (20.8%)	5 (23.8%)
STEM	9 (20.0%)	2 (8.3%)	7 (33.3%)
Weekly Total Physical Activity	6.30 (4.06)	6.06 (4.32)	6.57 (3.83)
Weekly Total Screen Time	22.58 (8.74)	21.98 (7.26)	23.26 (10.32)
¹ n (%); Mean (SD)			

RESULTS



- Male Results

- Weekly physical activity mean is 6.57 ± 3.83 hrs.

- Weekly screen time mean is 23.26 ± 10.32 hrs.

- Female Results

- Weekly physical activity mean is 6.06 ± 4.32 hours.

- Weekly screen time mean is 21.98 ± 7.26 hours.

- Overall Results

- Weekly physical activity mean is 6.30 ± 4.06 hrs. - Weekly screen time mean is 22.58 ± 8.74 hours.

- Statistical Significance

- Our Pearson Correlation Coefficient was **0.2** indicating a weak correlation between our variables.

- Confidence interval for the correlation coefficient was -0.1 to 0.47 indicated in the shaded gray area.

- The p-value of the test was **0.187** indicating that the data is not statistically significant.



UC San Diego

Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health and Human Longevity Science

CONCLUSION

- Pearson Correlation Coefficient was 0.2 → indicating a weak positive correlation between screen time and physical activity

- Our research **DID NOT** find a clear

association between the amount of

recreational screen time and the amount of intentional physical activity among UCSD college students (p = 0.187).

- There is a substantial gap in research, as more studies need to be conducted to better understand the relationship between screen time and physical activity among college students.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- There needs to be a change in how schools educate students upon the benefits of physical activity.

- More affordable programs outside of school are needed to enable college students to become more physically active.

REFERENCES

1) CDC. (2023, May 15). *Heart Disease Facts* | *cdc.gov*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

https://www.cdc.gov/heartdisease/facts.htm 2) Powell-Wiley, T. M., Poirier, P., Burke, L. E., Després, J.-P., Gordon-Larsen, P., Lavie, C. J., Lear, S. A., Ndumele, C. E., Neeland, I. J., Sanders, P., St-Onge, M.-P., & American Heart Association Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on

Epidemiology and Prevention; and Stroke Council. (2021). Obesity and cardiovascular disease: A scientific statement from the american heart association. Circulation, 143(21), e984-e1010. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000973

Elagizi, A., Kachur, S., Carbone, S., Lavie, C. J., & Blair, S. N. (2020). A review of obesity, physical activity, and cardiovascular disease. Current Obesity Reports, 9(4), 571-581. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-020-00403-z 4) Raghuveer, G., Hartz, J., Lubans, D. R., Takken, T., Wiltz, J. L., Mietus-Snyder, M., Perak, A. M., Baker-Smith, C., Pietris, N., & Edwards, N. M. (2020). Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Youth: An Important Marker of Health: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation, 142(7). https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.000000000000866

Castro, O., Bennie, J., Vergeer, I., Bosselut, G., & Biddle, S. J. H. (2020). How sedentary are university students? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Prevention Science: The Official Journal of the Society for Prevention Research, 21(3), 332-343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-020-01093-8

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Matthew Stone and our TA Araz Majnoonian for their guidance and support in our capstone project and research!